

Form PTO-1449 Substitute U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary)	Application Number	10/037,415
	Filing Date	January 4, 2002
	First Named Inventor	David Baltimore
	Art Unit	1636
	Examiner Name	D. Guzo
	Attorney Docket No.	75723- ZB/JPW/GJG/CS

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
	1	Joint Claim Construction Chart, filed in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>Amgen Inc., et al. v. ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, CA No. 06-259 (MPT);	
	2	Deposition Transcript of Randolph Wall, Ph.D., pages 149-152, in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>Amgen Inc., et al. v. ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, CA No. 06-259 (MPT);	
	3	Brief for Appellants, ARIAD, filed December 16, 2008 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>Amgen Inc., et al. v. ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2009-1023;	
	4	Defendant Eli Lilly and Company's Motion in Limine to Exclude Adding Claim Limitations and Expert Testimony That Offers Construction of Claim Terms Inconsistent With the Court's Construction, filed February 24, 2006 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, CA No. 02-CV-11280-RWZ;	
	5	Questions to the Jury on Special Verdict, dated April 28, 2006, in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, CA No. 02-CV-11280-RWZ;	
	6	Reply Brief on Defendant-Appellant Eli Lilly and Company, filed November 12, 2008 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	

EXAMINER
SIGNATURE

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.

Applicants: David Baltimore, et al.
 Serial No.: 10/037,415
 Filed: January 4, 2002

Exhibit A to February 6, 2009
 Supplemental IDS

Form PTO-1449 Substitute U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary)	Application Number	10/037,415
	Filing Date	January 4, 2002
	First Named Inventor	David Baltimore
	Art Unit	1636
	Examiner Name	D. Guzo
	Attorney Docket No.	75723- ZB/JPW/GJG/CS

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
	7	Motion of Plaintiffs-Appellees, ARIAD, to Strike Extra-Record Materials From the Joint Appendix, filed December 23, 2008 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly & Co., U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit</i> , Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	8	Lilly's Opposition to ARIAD's Motion to Strike Materials From the Joint Appendix, filed January 9, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly & Co., U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit</i> , Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	9	ARIAD's Reply in Support of Motion to Strike Extra-Record Materials From the Joint Appendix, filed January 21, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly & Co., U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit</i> , Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	10	Declaration of Dr. Inder Verma, dated November 9, 2006;	
	11	Second Declaration of Dr. Inder Verma, dated October 19, 2007;	
	12	Final Office Action issued October 16, 2008 in Merged Reexamination Control Nos. 90/007,503 and 90/007,828	
	13	Response to October 16, 2008 Final Office Action, Summary of December 2, 2008 Examiner Interview, and Statement of Concurrent Proceedings Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.565, filed January 26, 2009 in Merged Reexamination Control Nos. 90/007,503 and 90/007,828	
	14	Third Declaration of Dr. Inder Verma, dated January 26, 2009.	

**EXAMINER
SIGNATURE**
DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.

Application Number	10/037,415
Filing Date	January 4, 2002
First Named Inventor	David Baltimore
Art Unit	1636
Examiner Name	D. Guzo
Attorney Docket No.	75723- ZB/JPW/GJG/CS

(Use several sheets if necessary)

[illegible]

**EXAMINER
SIGNATURE**

DATE CONSIDERED

***EXAMINER:** Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.